

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In compliance with 37 CFR 1.116, the amendments made herein comply solely with requirements of form, canceling of claims, and to present rejected claims in condition for allowance. Therefore, applicant respectfully requests such amendments be admitted.

In response to the Office Action, Claims 16, 19, 20, 24-26, 28-30, 32-34, 38, and 48 were amended. Claims 31, 35-37, and 39-47 were canceled without prejudice.

No amendment made is related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment is made for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant had argued herein that such amendment is made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references. Any remarks made herein with respect to a given claim or amendment is intended only in the context of that specific claim or amendment, and should not be applied to other claims, amendments, or aspects of Applicant's invention.

Voluntary Claim Amendments

Claim 48 has been amended to correct a typographical error of a missing "and".

Acknowledgement of Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating the allowability of claim 48.

Rejection of Claims 16, 19-20, and 24-26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al (US 6,992,995) in view of Gwon (US 2003/0016655), Sorensen (US 2002/0061009), Leung (US 6,959,341) and Abrol (US 2002/0068570)

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection of Claims 16, 19-20, and 24-26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al (US 6,992,995) in view of Gwon (US 2003/0016655), Sorensen (US 2002/0061009), Leung (US 6,959,341) and Abrol (US 2002/0068570) as herein amended. Claims 16, 19-20, and 24-26 have been amended to include the allowable limitations of Claim 48 and therefore are believed to also be allowable.

Rejection of Claims 41, 43, and 46-47 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al (US 6,992,995) in view of Gwon (US 2003/0016655), Sorensen (US 2002/0061009), Leung (US 6,959,341), Abrol (US 2002/0068570), and Zheng et al (US 2002/0150062):

The rejection of Claims 41, 43, and 46-47 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al (US 6,992,995) in view of Gwon (US 2003/0016655), Sorensen (US 2002/0061009), Leung (US 6,959,341), Abrol (US 2002/0068570), and Zheng et al (US 2002/0150062) is moot in view of the cancellation of Claims 41, 43, and 46-47.

Rejection of Claims 28-40 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al (US 6,992,995) in view of Gwon (US 2003/0016655), Sorensen (US 2002/0061009), Leung (US 6,959,341), Abrol (US 2002/0068570), and Zheng et al (US 2002/0150062) as applied to Claim 41 above, and in further view of Malki et al (US 2001/0046223):

The rejection of Claims 31, and 35-37 and 39-40 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al (US 6,992,995) in view of Gwon (US 2003/0016655), Sorensen (US 2002/0061009), Leung (US 6,959,341), Abrol (US 2002/0068570), and Zheng et al (US 2002/0150062) as applied to Claim 41 above, and in further view of Malki et al (US 2001/0046223) is moot in view of the cancellation of claims 31, and 35-37 and 39-40.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejection of Claims 28-30, 32-34, and 38 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al (US 6,992,995) in view of Gwon (US 2003/0016655), Sorensen (US 2002/0061009), Leung (US 6,959,341), Abrol (US 2002/0068570), and Zheng et al (US 2002/0150062) as applied to Claim 41 above, and in further view of Malki et al (US 2001/0046223) as herein amended. Claims 28-30, 32-34, and 38 have been amended to include the allowable limitations of Claim 48 and therefore are believed to also be allowable.

Rejection of Claim 44 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al (US 6,992,995) in view of Gwon (US 2003/0016655), Sorensen (US 2002/0061009), Leung (US 6,959,341), Abrol (US 2002/0068570), and Zheng et al (US 2002/0150062) as applied to Claim 43 above, and in further view of Inoue et al (US 2002/0191576):

The rejection of Claim 44 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal et al (US 6,992,995) in view of Gwon (US 2003/0016655), Sorensen (US 2002/0061009), Leung (US 6,959,341), Abrol (US 2002/0068570), and Zheng et al (US 2002/0150062) as applied to Claim 43 above, and in further view of Inoue et al (US 2002/0191576) is moot in view of the cancellation of Claim 44.

Applicant has reviewed the other references of record and believes that Applicant's claimed invention is patentably distinct and nonobvious over each reference taken alone or in combination. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicant. Should the Examiner have any questions, comments, or suggestions, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's attorney or agent at the telephone number indicated below.

Please charge any fees that may be due to Deposit Account 502117, Motorola, Inc.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account 502117, Motorola, Inc, with any fees which may be required in the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

January 5, 2009

Motorola, Inc.
1303 East Algonquin Road
IL01 – 3rd Floor
Schaumburg, Illinois 60196
Customer Number: 24273

By: /Randi L. Karpinia/

Randi L. Karpinia
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 46,148
Tel: 954-723-6449
Fax: 847-576-3750

E-mail: docketing.us@motorola.com